

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,074	CHU, REY-CHIN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Brairton.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1,4,5 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Kazienko (US 1534394) and Blackman (US 2606663)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amend the claims to define over the prior art. See Ex's Amd't.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


THOMAS M. LITHGOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)